G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

689/90

LR File No. ~~1-12~~ 23/9A

191 .

(Land Revenue)

Serial Nos. 1-12

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-12

Major Part B for

SUBJECT.

Repairs to the breach of the Hattodi Dam in Devangere Tal

Indexed

Laboriously marked

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Memo

(1)

* 5951/83 of 24th Aug 1890

In 1890, there was a breach in the bund of the Hathadi tank, Devarapuri Taluq. The Secretary, to the D.P.W sent a note of it to the Chief Secretary, who forwarded it to the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldroog District for any remarks or explanation on the points noticed by the P.W. Secretary.

(2)

293/252 dated 10th Sep 1890

The Dep? Commissioner in reply remarked that he had nothing to say but that the P.W Secretary had stated that it appeared from the Amildar's statement that there were other causes besides the neglect of the ryots which should be taken into account in passing orders and that he wished to be informed What the cost of repairing the breached portion of the bund would come to.

?o dated 19th nov 1890

The P.W Secretary, being consulted, forwarded the statement* of the Chitaldroog Executive Engineer which was to the effect that the actual cost of restoring the

Tank works amount to Rs 2111, and that in determining the fine to be imposed on the inhabitants, it should be taken into consideration that each of the 205 ryots had to maintain a bank of 25 ft in height of the bund, irrespective the unequal assessments paid by them, and that those to whom the breached portion of the bund had been assigned held no lands below the tank and hence had little or no interest in it.

A copy of the Executive Engineer's statement was forwarded* to the Commissioner, Chitaldroog who was asked to explain the extraordinary inequality in the division the bund among the several inhabitants.

(5)

* 1358/196 dated 4th March 1891

(9)

The Dep^t Commissioner's reply is to the following effect:—

1115/107 dated 8 June 1891

The distribution was made in 1886 when Homappa Naik was Amildar. From vernacular correspondence in the subject, it appears that Homappa Naik, to whom the holders of wet lands under the tank had

"Represented the impracticability of
"doing all the work by themselves
"alone. Gave a vague order that all
"the ryots in the village, whether
"holding wet lands under the tank or
"not, should undertake to complete
"the work as they all used the
"water for themselves and their cattle.
"Probably avoiding them selves of
"the Amildar's vague order. The
"Patel and Shanbogue divided
"the work equally among the 205
"ryots without reference to the Kan-
"dayam payable by each Ryot.
"The ryots however did the work
"assigned to them. The eight
"ryots in whose Section the breach
"occurred, held no wet lands
"but only dry lands under the
"tank and they state that they
"themselves did not do the earth
"work but that the entire earth-
"work of the tank was done by
"hired labour from funds collected
"from all the ryots at the rate of
"8 as upon each plough. Under
"such circumstances, it seems
"hard that the ryots in whose
"Section the breach occured

should be made liable for its
repairs in the smallest degree.

The Chief Engineer, Mysore
has now requested the Chief
Secretary to communicate the
final orders on the subject
desired by Government.

(10)

No 278 dated 27th Jan 1893

C. Srinivasaiyar
23/1/93

Submd to the Dewan
for orders - The cost
is justly debitable to
Govt.
24/1/93

The orig papers reqd for
a decision are not
in this file having been
retd: to DPWs with letter
of 29th Sept 1890 No 7885/167.
So that I have wasted my
time in reading through this
file and hope the DPWs file
may be obtained before I
forget them

27-4-93

Submitted with a note
27/4/93
12/5/93

32

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

REVENUE BRANCH.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT. Repairs to the breach of Hathadi tank, Registered No. 23, in the Davangere Taluk.

LAST REFERENCE. Letter No. 1944 dated the 8th May 19 from the Chief Engineer of My

The tank was brought to standard about the year 1877.

Memo: dated 29. 10. 84.

2. On the 28th October/84 when Davangere was at- -tached to the Shimoga Dist Mr. Nagarkar inspected the tank and found that (1) the top of the bund had been worn down 6 to 8 inches

(2) the

(2) the front and rear slopes had been furrowed.

(3) the right arm of the bund had been reduced from 4' to 1' top breadth,

and the Ryots could not tell the Inspecting officer why they neglected the up-keep of the tank in face of the grade stones fixed. He was of the opinion that the damage subsequently done was due to the culpable neglect on the part of the ryots. The tank breached on 22nd September 1889. The Offg: Executive Engineer Mr. Ranga Rao inspected it on the 25th idem. His memo

of

of Inspection contains the following points of information —

(1) The shortcomings observed by Mr. Nagarkar in october/84 were brought to the notice of the Dyfom^r^, The Ryots who at first refused to maintain the — bund appear to have done some work just at the — time when Davengere was transferred to the Chital. drug District. On 20^th^ June '886 the Dyfommissioner and the D. P. W. Sub. Dv^n^ Officer inspected the tank and found that some work

work had been done to the flanks, but in the centre for 8 grades the bund required raising from 6" to 9". to bring it up to the proper level. It is not known what further steps were taken in the matter.

(2) In accordance with the instructions given in the Chief Engineer's cir No 76 dated 4th June 1888 an estimate for Rs 358 was sanctioned for—

(1) replacing the 17 missing grade stones
(2) repairing the revetment
(3) providing a body wall to the Kodi.

It was understood that the Ryotō would do the necessary earth work.

(3) When the missing grade stones were replaced it was stated that they were higher in level than the standard adopted before, and when the matter was under correspondence the tank breached

(4) The new grade stones were fixed with reference to the level (5 feet above the weir). of the existing stones

(5) At the place where the breach occurred grade stones had been newly plant

and the general level of the bund at that point was 1 ft: 4 inches lower than the grade level.

(6.) On the 22nd Septembe the water in the tank was 4 ft above the Kodi level and the bund where the breach occurred was 3·63 3·83 ft above the Kodi level. Hence the over-top ping and the consequen breach.

(7.) How the 17 grade stone had disappeared is not known.

(8) Had the grade stones been in their proper place and the bund maintaine

to

to the required level it is supposed that the tank would not have breached

(9) Before the missing grade stones were planted the Tank Inspector prepared an estimate for Rs 290 for the earth work that had to be done. Prior to the breach, about 200 Rs worth of work had been done at Govt cost, subject to its recovery from the Ryots in due course.

(10) The Ryots should be made to pay for the consequence of their neglect, that is, Rs 2000/- being half

half the cost of restoration should be recovered from them.

3. The Executive Engineer sent a copy of his inspection memo to the Dy.Commr. who in reply observed that it was a matter for Govt. to decide, and that the tank breached just where it had breached once before.

4. Mr. Ranga Rao in his report accompanying the estimate explained that the previous breach referred to by the Dy.Commr. appeared to have occurred about 25 years ago, that is, long

long before the repairs of 1877, and that the Ryots ought not to have allowed that portion of the bund to wear away.

Memo: dated 19.5.90.

5. The Secretary, D.P.W., submitted the Estimate to Government for orders, — though the amount of the estimate was within his powers and under rule 6 of the Tank Maintenance Rules of October/73 recommended the recovery from the Ryots of half the estimate amount viz. Rs. 20

He was of the opinion that it would be injudicious not to exact the fullest penalty as it was a case of not only distinct neglect

neglect but also of deliberate attempt to evade obliga-tions by removing or destroying the grade stones The Dewans orders thereon were as follow:—

" This is a sad case " of evasion of obligation " by the ryots, and neglect " of duty by Local Reve- "-nue officers. The amount " in strictness is recover- "able but it is too large " for a poor village to pay. " At the same time it is neces- "sary to impose upon them " a suitable fine to make " them alive to their obliga- "-tions. I suppose the sections of

Orders dated 27.5.90. on the Memo:

" of bund had been assigned
" for maintenance to indi-
" vidual Ryotō. If so, it
" would be sufficient to
" hold them who neglected
" the sections where the
" breach occurred responsible
" for the damage and
" punish them by a suf-
" ficient fine. It would not
" be fair to hold the entire
" body of Ryotō responsible
" for damage caused by the
" neglect of a few. The
" Dyōmmu should be
" called upon to report what
" fine he would propose for
" recovery from what in-
" dividual ryotō. In

"In the meantime the repair
"may be taken in hand
"by the Government. The
"Amildars in charge of the
"Taluk, especially since 1884
"should also be punished.
"I would like to know their
"names and get their exc-
"planation."

6. The Secretary, D. P. W., accordingly requested the Deputy Commissioner to report what fine he would propose to be recovered and from what individual ryots, and to submit the explanations of the Amildars concerned especially since 1884.

No. 2231-2 / 313.4 dy 29.5.90.

For

7 For the following reaso
the Dyfomm^r is of the —
opinion that the Ryots are
not liable to make good
the breach, nor the person
named in the margin ×
whose sections the breach
occurred :—

(1) Under the orders of
the Dyfomm^r (M^r
Madhava Rao) the —
Ryots got earth work
done to the extent of
Rs 200/-. M^r Asstt Com^r
Panchanatha Rao in-
spected the work done,
Whereupon the Executive
Engineer was informed
that the bund had been
brought to the grade level

× 1 Sidda, 2 Mallappa.
3 Hanumappa, 4 Kayathsab.
5 Malla, 6 Bhima,
7 Bhima, 8 Hanuma.

This was in 1886 when the Taluk was retransferred to the Chitaldrug District — Mr. Mahamad Ali, Dyfom and Mr. Arnachellam Modalier inspected the tank in June 1886 and found that the needful earth work had been done save in some places where the bund required to be raised a little more. Then the Ryots got the balance of the work done at a cost of about Rs 30/—

(2) Mr. Raghunatha Rao inspected the tank on 20th September '88 and reported that a portion of

of the bund had sunk down by a foot, that the revet-ment was loose, that water was percolating and that Amildar Honnappa Naidu was persuading the Ryots to do the needful earth work. The Amildar was required to get the earth work done & to recover the cost from the Ryots. Work was begun in February 89 and Rs 200 worth of work was got done by paid labor. The Amildar delayed work from September '88 to Feby 89 - nearly 5 months, and this added to the previous neglect in having allowed

the bund to sink below the grade level.

(3) It cannot now be stated whether the bund where the breach occurred was up to the grade level or not. Even granting that it has gone down by a foot or so, this fact could not have caused the breach.

(4) Past at the place of the present breach a breach had occurred 20 years ago and had been filled up.

(5) There was heavy rain on the date of the breach.

(6) The Kodi having been raised a few months previously by the P.W.D. pressure on the bund

became great and it gave

way

(7) The breach occurred not because the bund was lower by a foot but because sufficient ~~let~~ was not provided for, — for the Kodi wall recently built was washed down by ½ a foot.

(8) The Ryots have ~~—~~ agreed to pay ⅕ of the cost of filling in the breach provided that the Govt do not levy from them the cost (Rs 207) of earth work done in 1889.

8. Amildar Honnappa Naidu was in charge of the

the Taluk from December
'82 to August 1889 that is
a month previous to the
breach. He explains that
he got the needful work
done then and there, that
in May 89 the bund was
opened by the P. W. D. con-
-tractor and the work
was not completed im-
-mediately, &c. and that
in July 1889 when ~~the~~
earth work was being
done, the D. P. W. Overseer
raised the level of the
grade stones and the
matter was brought to
the notice of the Executive
Engineer through the

the Deputy Commissioner.

9. Mr Tirumalachar, — Dy Comm^r states that — under the circumstances represented the Amildar is not to blame. Col: — Bowen in submitting the Dy Comm^r's report with Amildar Honnappa Naidu's explanation observes:—

(1) If the Kodi had been widened the bund might have been lower.

(2) The bund at the centre was 1 ft. 4 inches lower than the proper level. The original grade stone had been wantonly removed to afford an ex-

cuse

for evading obligation.

(3) The Dy Commissioner's recommendation if accepted would afford a most serious precedent.

(4) The Ryots evaded their obligation from the very beginning in spite of the repeated inspections made.

(5) The Amildar's defence that the grade stones were raised to a higher level is a distinct misstatement (Please see para '5' of Ex: Engr's Inspection note of 25 Sept 8;). Both the Amildar and the Dy Commr wish to save the Ryots by throwing doubts on what

was

was done by the P. W. D.

10 The papers were again forwarded to the Dy Comm^r for remarks or explanat The Dy Comm^r admittin that there was neglect o the part of the Ryots an the Amildar states that there were other causes for the breach such as heavy rain &c. The Dy Comm^r wanted to know the actual cost of restoring the breached portion which is estimat at Rs 2,111.

11. The Ex: Engineer in reporting the actual cos of restoring the breach states

states that the distribution list done by the Shan-bhog is based upon the following basis:—

(a) There are 205 Kuls in the village paying some tax or other to Govt:

(b) The bund is divided into 205 taks, and each Kul gets a length of 25 ft: The Kuls to whom the breached portion had been assigned hold no lands below the tank but dry lands above it. That the Shanbhog who pays a wet assessment of Rs 9.3 and a Kul paying only 8 as: house tax, have each to

maintain

maintain 25 ft: of the bund
according to the above dis-
tribution. The Dy Commrs
attention was drawn to the
unequal distribution of —
Ryots' liability to maintain
the tank and an explanation
was called for. The Dy Comrs
reports that the fact of the
distribution being unequal
is true, that it was made
during Amildar Honnappa
Naidu's time in accordance
with the consent of the —
Ryots. Honnappa Naidu
appears to have ordered —
that <u>all</u> the Ryots in the
village should do earth
work. The 8 Ryots within
whose

whose sections the breach occurred state that they did not do the earth work of their sections but the whole earth work was done by paid labor from funds raised at 8 as: per plough. The Dy Commr thinks that it is hard to make these poor Ryots liable for the repair even in the smallest degree.

12 The D. P. W. Secretary is pressing for final orders on his memo dated 23/8/90.

13 The Estimate in the meanwhile has been revised by the Executive Engineer. The Chief Engineer has ~~sanctioned it~~ for Rs 5000/-.

Submitted for orders
22/5/93

For Devaswom orders. The Asst Collr has gone on Devaswom tour & will return here on the 24th inst. Thereafter the last estimate submitted will be taken up for

endeavoring the top of the
bund 6 12 feet. Under

All the cir & the
Contributions demanded
Maybe Excused
as the syds
are not wholly
to blame in the
matter
ATT
22/8

Ito
for DMO

for Dy Gen acting
Devans Orders
Ito
22/8

If there is
still any
unexpired
distribution
of the syds
funds to
maintain the
Canteen the syds
shd not be supplied
without further
delay
ATT
22/8

Draftbuch
Ito
23/8

Draft Subs
ATT 24/8

(14)

(General Serial No. 30.)

1890.

From IR 68-90

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District.

Dated 24 August.
Despatched 25
Received

Enclosures No 1 filed Papers

General No. 5951
No. 83

16

9-8-90 I have the honor by desire of the Offg Dewan to forward in original* together with its enclosures, D. N. Seery's note 23 Augt instant in connection with the breaching of Kathadi Tank, Davangere Taluk for any remarks or explanation he may wish to offer on the points noticed by the Secretary to Govt P. W. D. in his letter

By Order

24/8/90

25/8/90
Chief Secretary

* (To be returned).

(General Serial No. 31.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
5881
20 SEP 90

1890

From The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldroog

To Government

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~
of Mysore.

Dated 10th September 1890.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. One file of papers

General No. 293.
No. 2540

Sir, In reply to your docket
No. 5951/83 dated 24th ultimo,
I have the honor to state that
I have nothing to say to what
the Secretary P.W.D. has stated
in his note of the 23rd
ultimo regarding the breach
-ing of the Hathadi Tank
in the Davanagere Taluk.
2. — The Amildar's explana-
-tion speaks for itself and
it shews that on the 30th
May 1889, long before the
breach occurred, the Patel
of the village apprehended
danger to the Tank in
case heavy rain fell,
because, as the Amildar
states, "the bund had
been opened by the Contrac-
-tor under

22-9-90

orders of the P.W. Department in order to re-construct the breastment." It appears from the Executive Engineer's Memo dated 17th April 1890 that 2 in. of rain, which was "scattered heavy for the season" fell on the date of the breach. It therefore appears to me that besides the neglect on the part of the Ryots concerned to maintain, up to the standard level, that portion of the bund where the breach occurred, there were other causes for the breach, which in my humble opinion, should be taken into account in passing such orders as the Government may deem proper regarding the dilatory Conduct of the Amildar in getting the necessary earthwork done to the Bank and the neglect of maintenance of the breached portion of the bund by the Ryots to whom that portion had been assigned. The names of those Ryots are mentioned in para 4 of this Office letter No. 114 dated 18th June 1890 to the address of the Secretary P.W. Department; but before submitting my opinion as to the fine which might be recovered from them, I would respectfully beg to be informed by the Secretary P.W. Department of the actual Cost of restoring the breached portion of the Bank. I beg herewith to return the enclosures of your letter.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Illegible
Deputy Commissioner
Chidambaram District

1/02

(17)

LR 88-90-3

No 7885/167 of 29-9-90
T—00
Coorg

The Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore
D. P. W.

Sir

I am directed to forward herewith copy of letter No 293/254 dated 10th instant from the Deputy Commr. Chitteldroog District, in re the breaching of the Hathadi Tank in the Davengeré Taluk, and to request the favour of your furnishing information as to the actual cost of restoring the breached portion of the tank in question. Final orders will be passed on receipt of the information from you.

I have &c

Draft[illegible]

Chief Secy

29 Sept 90

Original papers of 16 & 100 returned.
2.10.90

Drafted on Mr B Devans orders.
29/9

1890.

Friday D. C. W

29th Septr 1890.
1st October.

Boyle

No 7885
167.

From Lieut Col Whitehead No 5382 775 dd 26-11-90

Forwd copy of letter from the
D.C. Christchurch, inspr the
breaching of the Hartwood Tank
and regd to be informed
as to the actual cost of
restoring the breached portion

LR 28-90-4 ✓

(17)

No. 5382 / 775

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT,
CENTRAL OFFICE,
Bangalore 26th November 1890.

From

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
P. W. Department.

To The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 7885/167 dated 29th September 1890, forwarding copy of Chitaldrug Deputy Commissioner's No. 293/254 of the 10th idem, and inquiring the actual cost of restoring the breached portion of the Hathadi Tank in Davengere Taluk, - I have the honor to forward copy of docket No. 90 of the 19th instant, from the Executive Engineer, Chitaldrug Division, furnishing the information required.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

H. Groves. Colonel, R.E.
For Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
P. W. D.

Encl. 1

Draft forwarding copy of the Executive Engineer's letter as desired in his No. 293/252 & 10 Sept. Cost of irrigation report. The Dy. C's attention should be particularly drawn to the very unequal distribution of rain & tank supply - Some tanks completely filled by the rain - Who should make the increase & what is the total increase & the average unequality - An early report requested

BO
2/3/91

Mr. Rice
Dy. C's report is very full & interesting & I have read with much interest but there is not much in it - It is the latter when the Hd. Asst. went round, very short indeed

2/3/91

Drafted.
ABN
3/3/91

1890

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.
8136
DEC 90
146

No. 5382
From 775

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore 26th November 1890.

Despatched

Enclosure No. one

Subject.

Forwarding copy of docket No. 20 of the 19th Nov/90, from the Exec: Engineer Chitaldrug Division furnishing the information req: the Hathodi tank in the Davengere Taluk.

References as

2/12/90

Lr. Executive Engr.
Chtd
4-12-90

Submitted as

[illegible]

4/12
3-12-90

Camp No. 90

17
a

From, The Executive Engineer
Chitaldrug Division
To. The Chief Engineer
of Mysore.

Dated Chitaldrug 19th. November/90

Sirs.

Chief Engineer's Ctr. 4684 of 3rd. October 1890 requesting certain information for the Hattadi Tank in the Davengere Taluk. —

— a —

Has the honor to state in reply that the actual cost of restoring the breached portion of the tank is estimated at 2,111 Rs. as per following details. —

10,000 cyds. of earthwork at 2½ as per breach filling	}	Rs. 1,562
731 Cyds. of new stone work to protect the breach filling at 12 as.	}	549
	Total Rs.	2,111

2. — It may not be out of place here to suggest that in determining the fine to be imposed on the ryots to whom the breached portion had been assigned for upkeep, the following circumstances which have an important bearing on the question

question, may be duly considered.

The Hanchigepatte" or "the distribution list with the Chambhogne shows that there are in the village 205 "Kailas" or inhabitants paying tax of some sort to Government and that the bund is divided into 205 equal taks or Lechins each measuring 25 ft. in length and that each inhabitant has to maintain a tak of 25 feet, that those to whom the breached portion of the bund had been assigned hold no lands below the tank but that they hold dry lands above it, that the Chambogne who pays a wet assessment of 93 Rs. has to maintain the same length of bund as another paying half a rupee of house tax only.

3.— Before imposing any fine on the ryots who apparently had little or no interest in the tank it seems necessary to have the above statement verified in the Revenue department.—

4.— The information now furnished was gathered while inspecting the tank in June last

5- The original enclosures are herewith returned.

Kilas

(Signed) D. Ranga Row
Offg: Exec: Engineer
Chitaldrug Dt.

True Copy.

26/11/90

H Frdees

Asst. Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore P.W.D.

LR 88-90-5

(General Serial No. 31)

From 189

To The Deputy Commissioner
Chittledroog Dist.

Dated 4 March 1891.

Despatched 6th

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 135-88
No 196

His letter No. 293/254 dated 5th September 1890, requesting to be informed of the actual cost of restoring the breached portion of the Kathadi Tank in the Davengere Taluk.

With reference to the above has the honor to forward for further report, copy of Docket No. 90 dated 19 November 1890 from the Executive Engineer Chittledroog Division, to the Chief Engineer.

2. The Deputy Commr.

is particularly drawn to the very unequal distribution of ryots' liability to maintain the bank brought to notice by the Executive Engineer. Who made the division and what is the explanation for the extraordinary inequality?

3. An early report is requested.

By Order

Chief Secy
2/3/91

Sent
Noted
1 Encl
2/3/91

Mr
3/3/91

Camp No. 286 LR 68-90-6

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT

CENTRAL OFFICE,

Camp Doddaccund Bangalore 19th May 1891.

From

The Superintending Engineer of Mysore.

To

SIR,

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

I have the honor to invite your attention to the correspondence ending with this office no. 5382/775 8136 dated 26th November 1890, regarding the breaching of the Hadadi Bank in the Bangarpet Taluk, and to request that you will be so good as to communicate to me the final orders on the subject passed by Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Superintending Engineer of Mysore.

Cbs

1891/92

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Camp
No. 286
From 9/

OFFICE OF THE
1474
16 MAY 91
13
DEWAN OF MYSORE

The Superintending Engineer
of Mysore.

(6)

To the same No. 1887 dy 35-

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated
Despatched 3 Camp Goldamund.
12th day May 1891.

Enclosure No.

Subject.

Requesting to communicate the final orders of Government on the breaching of the Hadadi Tank in the Davangere Taluk.—

Reference
16/5/91

Submitted
DRC
18/5/91.

Manager

Send a reminder to DC for early reply to this office letter No. 1358 dy 4-3-91
196

(2) Reply to the Chief Engineer that the letter will be despatched by reminder reply from the DC to whom a reference has been made on the subject

dy
-9/4/91

dsp

No: 115. Ex-
107. 3.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Chitaldrug district
LR 38-40-
Dated 8th June 91. (23)
Despd: 10-6-91.
90/2381/166

From. The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug district

To The Chief Secretary to
the Government of Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

In reply to your docket
No 13588/196 of the 4th March
last, regarding the —
restoration of the breached
portion of the Hadadi Tank
in the Davanagere Taluk
I have the honor to state
that the facts brought to
notice by the Executive —
Engineer regarding the
unequal distribution of
the ryots liability to main
-tain the tank, are
reported by the Amildar

to be true. The distribution was made in February/86 when Nomappa Naidu was the Amildar of that Taluk, and the depositions of the Sheikdar and — Shanbhogue and some of the ryots, herewith submitted, show that the division of work was made with the consent of the ryots in the village, as it was represented to the Amildar by those who held wet lands below the tank, that they alone were incapable of doing all the earth work required to be done

2. From the Vernacular correspondence on the subject, Amildar Nomappa Naidu appears to have

given a Vague order that
all the ryots in the village
should undertake and
Complete the work, whether
they held wet lands below
the tank or not, since they
all made use of the water
for themselves and for their
cattle, and it appears to
me that taking advantage
of the Amildar's Vague —
order, the Patel and
Shanbhogue divided the
work equally among all
the 205 ryots in the village
without reference to the
Kandayam payable by
each to Government, but
the Villagers did not object
to the unequal distribution
of the work and carried it
out by paid labor

3. The 8 ryots in whose section

of the lands, the breach occurred, hold no wet lands whatever below the tank, but only dry lands as per particulars given in the margin. They state that they themselves did not do the earth work of the sections allotted to them but that the entire earth work of the tank was done by paid labor from funds raised from all the ryots at the rate of 8 annas upon each ploigh

4 Under these circumstances it seems to me very hard that the poor ryots in whose sections the breach occurred, should

Name of ryot.	Amount of Kandayam (paid by ryots)
1 Karpanudi Gowda	3-7-0
2 Kurubar Nagadimallappa	23-14-6
3 Kurubar Hanumappa	2. 14. 9
4 Hayath Sahib.	5-13-6
5 Subba Setharnor	1. 9. 6
6 Bheema Lim Rama	17. 8. 6
7 Chikkallu Bheema	6. 8. —
8 Hanuman	Information not available

be made liable for its
repair even in the smalle
degree.

I have the honor to be
Sir.
your most obedient Servan

Deputy Commissioner
Ghitaldrug district

23

14/4

Mahmudabad 1914

29/4

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ನಾನು ಕಳೆದ ಹಲವು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡ ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕನಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಆಡಳಿತ ಮಂಡಳಿಯವರು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗಾಗಿ ಹಲವು ಯೋಜನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಕಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಯೋಜನೆಯೆಂದರೆ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಆವರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಸುಸಜ್ಜಿತವಾದ ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯವನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಮಿಸುವುದು. ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಗತಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಆಡಳಿತ ಮಂಡಳಿಯವರು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಹಳೆಯ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ಹಿತೈಷಿಗಳಿಂದ ಧನಸಹಾಯವನ್ನು ಕೋರಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಿಮಗೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಲು ಮತ್ತು ನಿಮ್ಮಿಂದ ಸಹಾಯವನ್ನು ಕೋರಲು ಈ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಬರೆಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಕಾಲೇಜಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಒಂದು ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯವಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅದು ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ವಿಸ್ತಾರವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳನ್ನು ಇಡಲು ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಸ್ಥಳಾವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲ. ಓದುಗರಿಗೆ ಕುಳಿತುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಹ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಸ್ಥಳಾವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಹೊಸ ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯವನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಮಿಸಲು ಆಡಳಿತ ಮಂಡಳಿಯವರು ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಹೊಸ ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಹತ್ತು ಸಾವಿರ ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳನ್ನು ಇಡಲು ಸ್ಥಳಾವಕಾಶವಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಇನ್ನೂರು ಜನ ಓದುಗರು ಒಮ್ಮೆಲೆ ಕುಳಿತುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಸ್ಥಳಾವಕಾಶವಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಳಿತು ಓದಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಮೇಜು-ಕುರ್ಚಿಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಕಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಳಿತು ಓದಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಬೆಳಕಿನ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಲಾಗುವುದು. ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಳಿತು ಓದಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಗಾಳಿಯಾಡುವ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಲಾಗುವುದು. ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಳಿತು ಓದಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಶಾಂತವಾದ ವಾತಾವರಣವನ್ನು ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಳಿತು ಓದಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಸ್ವಚ್ಛವಾದ ವಾತಾವರಣವನ್ನು ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಳಿತು ಓದಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಸುರಕ್ಷಿತವಾದ ವಾತಾವರಣವನ್ನು ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಳಿತು ಓದಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಸುಸಜ್ಜಿತವಾದ ವಾತಾವರಣವನ್ನು ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಳಿತು ಓದಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಆಧುನಿಕ

(General Serial No. 30.) 2 R 8 908

1892-93.

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To The Chief Engineer in Mysore

Dated Bangalore 30th April 1893.

Despatched 2-5

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 17984

R No. 2191

His docket No. 1281 dated 24th March 1893 requesting early orders of Government on the subject of the breach of the Hadadi Tank in the Davanagere Taluk.

With reference to the above, has the honor to request ~~favor of the early return the very early submission~~ of the original papers ~~of the Public Works Department~~ relating

to the said tank which were forwarded
with this office letter
No. 1885/167 of 29th September
1890.

By Order

Chief Secretary

Comptd 28.

1093 28

LRb8-90-9

To

The Chief Engineer
in Mysore

Dated 30° April 1893
2-5

Despd -

No 17984 +
R 2191

His docket No 1281
dated 24th March 1893
requesting early orders of
Government on the sub-
-ject of the breach of the
Hodadi Tank in the Dáva-
-nageré Taluk.

With reference to
the above, has the honor
to request a very early
submission of the original
papers of the Public Works
Department relating to
the

the said Tank returned

with this Office letter No

1885-
16 of 29th Sept. 1890.

By Order

Chief Clerk

mk.

Forwarded
On what authority
were the papers
sent up? To be explained.
personally

Recd. Refer Note 6/5 Secretariat 6/5

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER 12849 MAY 1893

1893 C 3982

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT

No. 1901 1R68-90-D

From

The Chief Engineer of Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan

Dated Bangalore 5th May 1893.

Despatched

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

In reply to his No. 17984/2191 dated 2nd instant, has the honor to inform the Chief Secretary that no Original papers were received with his

Mysore Govt. C. P. Bangalore.—10-9-02—1,000.

with his No. 7885/167 dated 29th September 1890, but only copy of Chittaldroog Deputy Commissioner's letter No. 293/254 dated 29th idem.—

W. D. Stuttaford

Offg. Chief Engineer

of Mysore P.W.D.

Encl

Obs

(30)

Copy

LR 86-90-11

To

The Chief Engineer
in Mysore.

Dated 8th May 1893

No. 1846
R 2221

His No. 190 dated 5th May/93
stating that no original papers
were received with this office
No. ~~17984~~ 7885 dy 29th Septr/90
~~dated 2nd Instt~~
2191
in re Hattadi tank in the
Bangarpet Taluk.

In reply, has the honor
to state that the original
papers of the D. P. W were
not returned with the reference
above cited but were delivered
~~in response on requisition~~
to the Asste Record Keeper
C. Ramanujalu Naidu of his
Office on the 2nd October 1890
and to request that the

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

again
enture file may be sent to
this office early ~~forwarded~~.
as it is required for reference
by the Viceroy. By order.

8/5

For Chief Secty.

8/5/93

1892 12944
R 391

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

No. 1941 LR 68-10-12

From

The Chief Engineer
of Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated Bangalore 8th May 18

Despatched

Enclosure No. Files

Rec'd 8/5/93 Entered 9/5/93

I have the honour to forward the Com-plete file of Correspondence regarding the Kudadi Tank in Davengere Taluk, as requested in the Chief Secretary's No. 18416 of 8th instant.

For Offg. Chief Engr
of Mysore.

7 f. to Commencing No. 62 d/23-5-76 from Comr. Kagar, endg with No. Exr. Engr. Inspection report d/28-10-84. Containing 10 papers (2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10)

2 1 " Redo No. 1885/167 d/19-Sept-90 endg with No. 1281 d/14-3-93 Comrs 8 papers (11, 15, 16, 24)

3 1 do do No. 140 d/17-3-93 Exr. Engr No. 294/209 d/27-3-93 Comrs 4 papers (25, 27)

4 1 do do No. 78 d/7-5-90 endg with No. 114 d/18-June-90 Comrs 9 papers (9, 12)

5 1 No. 192/11 d/12 August 90 from Dy Comrs with 2 Enc (13)

6 1 Notification d/2nd October 73. 1 Secy's memo d/23-8-90 (18)

No. 3749-50 d/5-7-93.

Dy Comrs Chitaldrug

~~32~~

LR 88-90-129

Read:

Correspondence ending with letter no 1941 D/ 8th May 1893 from the Chief Engineer in Mysore on the subject of the repairs to the breach of the Hathadi Tank. Registered no 23, in the Davangere Taluk, — Chitaldrug District.

No 3749-50 D/ 24-8-93
R.F. 330-92 25

Order thereon.

The Tank was brought to Standard about the year 1877 and was handed over to the Ryots for the upkeep. In

1884 Mr. Nagarkar in-
spected the Tank and
found the bund had
been worn down, that
the front and rear slopes
had been furrowed and
the bund had been re-
duced from 4' to 1' top
breadth. The Short-
coming observed by
Mr. Nagarkar were brought-
to the notice of the Deputy
Commissioner Shimoga
(Davangere having then belonged to the
Shimoga District) through whose exertions the
ryots then seem to have been persuaded to carry out
the Earth work. The
P. W. D. replaced the

The Ryots complained that these Stones

missing Stones. ~~which~~
were ~~stated to have been~~
placed at a higher level
than the Standard —
originally adopted. When the
matter was under —
Correspondence the Tank
breached on the 22nd
September 1889 by water
overtopping the low parts
of the bund and just
at the same place where it
had ~~once~~ previously breached. The
Executive Engineer re-
marked that the rain
-fall on that parti-
-cular day was unusually ~~rather~~
heavy being 2 inches
but added that the
Tank breached only

through the negligence of
the ryots ~~who failed to~~
~~maintain the Tank~~ and
held them responsible
for payment of half
of the cost of repairing
the Tank. The ~~whole~~ Estd-
cost was ~~estimated at~~
Rs 4000/ ~~but was~~ subsequently
~~revised~~ raised to Rs 5000/- ~~and~~
~~which was~~ as sanctioned
by the Chief Engineer.
The Deputy Commissioner
Chittaldrug observed that
the 8 ryots in whose
section the Tank breached
~~held~~ held no wet lands whatever
below the Tank but only
dryland and added
that the entire Earth work.

personally carried out

Earth work was done by
paid labourers from funds
raised from all the
ryots at 8 annas ~~per~~
per ~~each~~ plough and that
there was an unequal
distribution of the ryots'
liability to maintain the
Tank. The Deputy Com-
missioner also states that
it would be very
~~would be~~ hard ~~for~~ that
the poor ryots in whose
section the Tank breaches
in any degree
should be made liable
for its repair. ~~Even in~~
~~the smallest degree~~

2. The cart track from
Davengere to Sulekere
passes over this Tank
bund. Therefore in
the

last Estimate provision was made for widening the top of the bund to 12 feet.

Under all the circumstances the → Govt are pleased to direct that the Contribution demanded ~~may~~ be excused as the ryots are not wholly to blame in the matter.

If ~~there is still any~~ the unequal distribution of the ryots liability still continues to ~~maintain~~ the Tank. The Deputy Conr should rectify it ~~& set it right~~ without further delay

Draft. 24/8/93

Chief Secy

24/8/93

To,
The Chief Engineer in
Papers rec'd from the Chief with his letter was given to Mr Ramanoojh in person on 5.9.93 vide his note on
The Deputy Conr.
Chittaldrug District
the Chief's letter above refd to.
6/9/93.